British Nationalist

FOR RACE AND NATION

JANUARY 1987

12p

BNP

COUNCILS PERSECUTE WHITE TENANTS

Londoners and other Britons threatened with eviction for 'racist' remarks

A NEW KIND of loathsome practice is now in operation in a number of London boroughs where by the borough councils are issuing threats of eviction to council tenants if they are found guilty of 'racial harrassment' of any of their neighbours. The practice has already been adopted by Tower Hamlets, Newham, Brent, Lambeth, Hackney, Haringey, Camden and Greenwich Councils, which are all Labour-controlled. It has also spread to the provinces and has been taken up by a number of councils elsewhere, among them Manchester.

There have come into our possession several circular letters issued by the Housing Departments of these local authorities, and these make clear what the intentions of the authorities are. According to one such circular, the object of the Council is to introduce a new clause into the agreement binding every council dwelling tenant, stating that it shall be the tenant's obligation:-

ELDERLY COUNCIL TENANT
She could be thrown out of her home if she displeases a black neighbour



BLACK ANGER
Many councils, desperate to win black votes, are giving way to every demand made by angry Blacks, including victimisation of alleged 'racists'

> "to ensure that he/she and his/her household and visitors comply with the tenancy conditions and use the premises and the communal areas in a manner which does not cause any discomfort, inconvenience, nuisance, annoyance to or damage to the person or property of other people. Amongst other forms of behaviour this clause covers acts of harrassment including any injurious word or deed arising out of or motivated by differences in race, religion, culture or skin colour. Any breach of the conditions by the tenant's household or visitors or, where there is a joint tenancy, by one of the joint tenants, shall be deemed to be and treated as a breach by the tenant."

It will be noticed that included here are the words "any injurious word." From this it can be seen that a council tenant only has to make some uncomplimentary remark to a fellow tenant in which there is contained some racial reference and the former could be thrown out of his or her home — regardless of the effect this could have on his or her whole family.

In theory of course, this rule operates all ways, applying to 'racial harrassment' of white tenants by non-Whites, just as it does non-Whites by Whites. But in practice it is virtually certain that the rule will be applied almost exclusively against white tenants reported to have 'harrassed' their coloured neighbours. The councils concerned are dominated by caucuses of left-wingers animated by an intense hatred of white people, and it is extremely unlikely that any complaint by a White of 'harrassment' or 'racial abuse' by a non-White will ever be treated with other than derision.

For example, it is well known that on such housing estates as Broadwater Farm in Tottenham (under the local authority of Bernie Grant's Haringey Council) there is racial harrassment by Blacks against Whites all the time, as many of the latter have testified. But there is no record of any action being taken against black tenants as a result. The same is true in other areas.

All this provides just one more example of the way in which the politicians of the old parties, desperate to cadge 'racial minority' votes, have turned against their own people. The British National Party has now become the only political group that fights for Whites' interests and rights.

Who cares about these Whites?



VICTIMS OF BLACKS
The old lady at the top is shown after black thugs had broken into her council flat and beaten her up. The lady in the centre shows a steel grill that she had to have erected at her door after constant attacks by neighbours, mainly young blacks. The little girl shown with her mother at the bottom had her face slashed by black muggers as they demanded her mother's handbag. None of this of course is 'racial harrassment' in the eyes of Labour and Tory councillors; they're only interested in cases of harrassment by Whites against Blacks.

B.N.P CANDIDATE HITS BACK AT PERSECUTORS

IAN DELL, prospective parliamentary candidate for the British National Party in Greenwich, is fighting the coming by-election in that constituency on a number of issues, one of which is the persecution of white council tenants by the local authority. Although this might seem to some to be a local government issue rather than a parliamentary one, Ian Dell would disagree; it is the parties in parliament that have created the present conditions of local government and they support the persecution from which white council tenants have been suffering. Recently Mr. Dell wrote a letter to Greenwich Council which read as follows:-

Dear Sirs,

I write with reference to your proposal to evict white tenants from their homes on grounds of 'racism'.

What are this and other councils going to do about the thousands of racial attacks on white people in this and other boroughs up and down the country every week?. On account of these attacks, women, young and old, are now scared to go out after dark for fear of being mugged, raped or murdered. There have been thousands of cases of white people being attacked in their homes and being harrassed and molested on the streets by Blacks. Whites are constantly suffering racial insults by Blacks and there is more than one case of white mothers having the faces of their tiny children slashed or burned by black muggers. There are also the many cases of black rioting, such as in Brixton and Tottenham.

These are some of the categories of racial attacks on Whites. In the face of all this, what does the Council do? All it can seem to think of doing is harrass WHITE families with threats of eviction.

Yours sincerely
IAN DELL

Totally contemptuous of white ratepayers with such views, Greenwich Council failed ever to reply to this letter.

Government, media and left collaborate in 'racial attacks' lie

(SEE PAGE 2)

TORIES GET IN ON THE ACT TOO

Not only Labour Councils practise intimidation against their tenants of the kind described on this page; the Tory-controlled Wandsworth Council is now introducing a similar clause into tenancy agreements, with Merton, another Tory council, shortly to follow. Tories have lately been condemning left-wing 'anti-racist' witch-hunts but they are guilty of the very same practice themselves.

British
Nationalist

No. 63 JANUARY 1987
Editor: John Morse

SOUTH AFRICA: WHAT IS REALLY AT STAKE

WITH THE EXCEPTION of Britain's nationalists, no representative of any section of public opinion in this country has the courage to take sides with White South Africa or the integrity to state openly and truthfully the real issue at stake in the current South African situation.

To put it quite simply, what is on the line is SURVIVAL for our fellow Whites — which, given the reality of conditions in South Africa, means the continued unconditional supremacy of the White Man.

The alternative is for this advanced and civilised Western country that white people have carved out of a wilderness to be handed over to the control of the non-white masses, with all the consequences that this would imply. Talk of 'partnership' or 'equality' between the races is nonsense; either the Whites rule or the non-Whites do.

To gain some idea of what this would mean, it is only necessary to look at what has happened in 'independent' Africa following the withdrawal of Britain and other European colonial powers.

These straightforward alternatives are obscured by the hysteria of the media and the 'anti-apartheid' fanatics on one side and the weakness and cowardice of Conservatives on the other.

The openly vicious 'liberal' and marxist elements of the 'anti-apartheid' lobby are screaming for trade sanctions and armed force to bring down the South African Whites with the maximum speed and violence.

On the other hand, while going through the motions of opposing this 'extremism' of the left, Conservatives are in fact on the same side as the left in their desire to bring White South Africa down. If they oppose sanctions, it is only on the grounds that they would hurt the Blacks in South Africa more than the Whites. If they oppose violent methods, it is only because they believe that more subtle, non-violent means will better accomplish the same insidious end. The disagreement between Conservatives and the left is only a tactical one.

Both share the common ground that they oppose their own race and want to destroy it.

Thus the debate on South Africa is only ever permitted to move within a very narrow framework. The only question debated is whether force or trickery will be more effective in undermining white civilisation. The whole issue is looked at exclusively through anti-white eyes.

What in fact is the meaning of the apartheid they all hate?

It simply means separate development, i.e. the arrangement of separate ways of life for each of the country's racial groups under overall white leadership. In view of the mess that non-Whites have made of the rest of Africa under 'majority rule', is this really such a bad thing?

We hear a lot about white 'oppression' of the Blacks in South Africa, but let us get this straight: Blacks are not rebelling against 'oppression'; their history shows that Africans will accept almost any authority, however harsh, so long as it is firmly applied and effective. Blacks are rebelling because they sense that, with the encroachment of 'liberal' ideas among some of South Africa's white rulers, there has been a weakening of the will to govern.

Government, media and left collaborate in 'racial attacks' lie

IN RECENT MONTHS Britain has been subjected to a spate of hysterical propaganda from the Government, the mass media and left-wing and immigrant lobbies alleging a great wave of 'racial attacks' on members of ethnic minority groups. Recently Home Secretary Douglas Hurd has lent his voice to this outcry, announcing that the combatting of these alleged attacks has become a top government priority. Obviously acting upon his instructions, Britain's top policeman, Metropolitan Commissioner Sir Kenneth Newman, has proclaimed new plans to fight the reported wave.

300 So what is the truth of all these stories of 'racial attacks'?

The truth is that at least as many such attacks are taking place where the victims are white as where they are black.

Metropolitan Police records, of which Sir Kenneth Newman must be aware, clearly show that of the thousaands of muggings taking place yearly in the London area the majority are committed by West Indians and the majority of those being mugged are white.

In addition to this, a disproportionate number of rapes occurring within the same area feature non-white rapists and white women as the victims.

But for some strange reason none of these muggings and rapes is classified as a 'racial attack'.

What then constitutes a 'racial attack'? According to one of police chief Newman's senior lieutenants, Assistant Commissioner Terence Siggs, ''an attack will now be logged as racial if the victim perceives it as such.''

The trouble with this is that there are a great many members of ethnic minority groups who are prone to read 'racism' into every incident in their lives that is not pleasing to them. There are also political pressure groups which thrive on incidents of 'racism' and are determined to invent them even where they do not exist.

When an old white lady is mugged by a West Indian youth, it does not normally occur to her to report the incident as being 'racial', yet bearing in mind the fact that most mugging victims of West Indians are, as we have said, in fact white, it could well be argued that a racial motive does exist in the mind of many black muggers — some indeed have admitted as much.

HURD
Subscribes to 'racial attacks' myth in order to win black votes for Government

> ''The long-term effects on our society of an undercurrent of racial intolerance and violence cannot be underestimated.
> ''To prevent continuing damage to race relations, the Government believes it is important that visible and vigorous action is taken to combat racial attacks and harrassment.
> ''It is vital to secure the confidence of the ethnic minorities in the institutions of society, and to signal clearly to those who would perpetuate such behaviour that their actions will not be tolerated.''

It is abundantly clear from Mr. Hurd's reference to securing ''the confidence of the ethnic minorities'' that the ''racial intolerance and violence'' to which he was alluding were on the part of Whites and against non-Whites. Also by his choice of words and speaking of action being ''visible'' he gave himself away completely. What he was really acknowledging was that all the gestures by the Government in this quarter are essentially for show. And for what reason? What other than to ingratiate the Government to the ethnic minorities for the purpose of winning their votes?

Responding to instructions from the Government, Commissioner Newman recently announced new police initiatives in the field of wooing the non-white public. Investigating racial attacks, he said, is being made a priority by London's police (report in *The Daily Mail*, January 10th 1987). Newman went on to say that the police want to counter claims that they are 'uncaring' and to ''diffuse tensions that could lead to riots.''

To the claim that investigations of racial attacks will be a ''priority'', we must ask: priority over what? Does this mean that police are going to regard reported incidents of mugging or raping of white women as being of lesser importance in respect of detection and arrest of the culprits than incidents of attacks on coloured people believed by the victims to be 'racial'?

It is also of interest that Sir Kenneth speaks of diffusing ''tensions that could lead to riots.'' Whites of course do not riot when their elderly womenfolk are attacked and beaten up and the police do not seem to be doing enough about it. If you can threaten to stage a public disorder of Broadwater Farm dimensions, you can, it seems, obtain specially favoured treatment from the police in dealing with your complaint — at the expense, if necessary, of other sections of the community!

DISTORTED PICTURE

For this reason, we have a completely distorted picture in which an impression is given that all the 'racism' is on the side of white society and all the victims of 'racism' are non-white. Politicians, media and chiefs of police seem anxious to promote that distorted picture. Speaking recently to a Commons Home Affairs Committee on the subject, Home Secretary Hurd said:-

NO RACIAL ATTACK

As far as 'racial attacks' are concerned, frequently incidents are being reported as such when they are nothing of the kind. For instance, there was the highly publicised case of a fire at a house in a heavily Asian part of Newham which killed 3 Tamils. Following this fire, there was a fresh outbreak of frenzied 'anti-racist' propaganda in the media, citing the fire as an example of what ethnic minority groups had to put up with from white 'racists'. *The Times* quoted a certain Nish Kanwar, representing what was described as the 'Newham Monitoring Project', as blaming Whites for the attack and leading a 100-strong picket against East Ham Police Station with placards demanding ''No police cover-up''.

In due course all those who had blamed the fire on white 'racists' were made to look foolish when the police arrested 6 fellow-Tamils in connection with it. It is now believed that the arson was the result of a feud between Tamil factions which was politically motivated, concerning the question of independence for their brethren in Sri Lanka.

British Nationalist deplores racial attacks as strongly as anyone. We are not prepared to subscribe, however, to the fable that the attacks are all, or nearly all, one-way. They occur against Whites just as they do against non-Whites and it is time that the politicians and media stopped pretending otherwise. The attacks are equally to be condemned in both cases and they should receive equal police priority in both cases. A crime is a crime, whatever the identity of victims and culprits.

SCENE OF THE GREAT HOAX
When this house in East London was burnt, the media screamed that white 'racists' were responsible. Later Tamils were arrested for the crime

Daily Mail, Saturday, Janu

Racial crime is new police priority

By TIM MILES

INVESTIGATING racial attacks is being made a priority by London's police.

Senior officers have been instructed to visit crime victims from ethnic groups to explain what action is being taken.

The police want to counter claims that they are 'uncaring' and to defuse tensions that could lead to riots.

Sir Kenneth . . . an order

Metropolitan Police Commissioner Sir Kenneth Newman has ordered that combating violence and harassment in racially sensitive areas must have priority.

Officers have been given new instructions about what is or is not a racial crime, apart from the obvious ones accompanied by abuse or daubed slogans.

Deputy assistant commissioner Terence Siggs said yesterday: 'To avoid ducking the issue, an attack will now be logged as racial if the victim perceives it as such.

'To the casual observer, this may seem an extravagant use of resources. But we have to look at the long-term objective and nip in the bud and build-up of tension.'

NEW POLICE PRIORITIES
Cutting from the Daily Mail showing how Commissioner Newman is carrying out Government policy

We suggest that the only true and lasting way to end the tension and conflict that have led to these attacks is to end the experiment of multi-racialism itself, which has proved a disasttrous failure, and to separate the different races by the peaceful and humane repatriation of the coloured communities now resident in Britain — a policy that is as much in the interests of these communities as it is in that of the host population.

In that way we will terminate once and for all the racial attacks from which British society is now suffering.

'SEND US BACK' PLEA IGNORED



LORD SCARMAN, whose report on the Brixton riots of 1981 did nothing to discourage further riots in the future, is seen here confronted by an irate 'Rastafarian', demanding to know why the Government would not repatriate him and his kind to Africa. The answer of course is simple: The Government WANTS the Blacks here and refuses to help them get repatriated — even where, as is the case with many Rastafarians, there is a genuine desire to leave Britain.

There is only going to be one government that will implement a policy of repatriation and that will be a British Nationalist Government, and the quicker that day arrives the better it will be for black and white alike!

We have often said it before: advocating repatriation is not 'racial hatred'. Many Blacks and other ethnic minorities want just such a policy. Removing them from Britain will help to create less hatred rather than more.

While British motor industry struggles for survival...

MRS. THATCHER GIVES NISSAN FOOT IN THE DOOR

WITH A BLAZE of trumpets, the Government, in collaboration with Japanese car manufacturers, have announced the opening of a new Nissan factory in Sunderland. It has been proudly proclaimed that the factory will create 2,500 jobs in the depressed Wearside area. Mrs. Thatcher officially opened the £50 million plant last September, describing the project as 'thrilling'.

Thrilling it may indeed be to Nissan and to the small and priviliged number who have been engaged to work at it, but it is far from thrilling to the British motor industry generally.

Austin-Rover, the only remaining British-owned mass motor maker was far from ethusiastic. A representative said of the new Nissan plant: "We do not think an extra manufacturer will solve the problems of over-capacity in Europe."

British manufacturers believe that the British market will in fact be the main target of Nissan's Sunderland plant because cars in this country are more expensive than on the Continent. Austin-Rover is now down to only 15 per-cent of the British market and Nissan will take a further chunk of that, very likely forcing the company out of business or forcing further aid to it from the Government.

But Mrs. Thatcher did not seem aware of this when she said at the opening of the Nissan works: "Nissan will be a major manufacturer, employer and exporter in this country. It will build engines and create jobs in components." In fact only 60 per-cent of the components of the Nissan cars produced in Sunderland are made in the UK.

PRIME MINISTER OPENS NISSAN FACTORY
A few jobs gained in Sunderland; many more to be lost elsewhere

Nissan plans, to start with, to build 100,000 cars a year with a workforce of only 2,500. Austin-Rover, by comparison, produced 475,000 cars last year with a workforce of 38,000. It is quite clear that Nissan cars are going to make big inroads into Austin-Rover's British market.

Nissan has set up its Sunderland factory with generous government aid. In fact that aid could far better have been invested in Austin-Rover to help it further modernise its plant and increase its output per worker so as to enable it to become more competitive to cars such as those produced by Nissan.

The Government, claiming adherence to 'free market' principles, has lately defended its refusal to give grants to many British manufacturers on the grounds that this would be 'featherbedding' such industries. And yet it has not regarded it as featherbedding Nissan to give it the huge grant (estimated at £100 million) that it has received to assist it in ruining industries at home.

The Government proclaims the setting up of the Nissan plant with its help as a great step forward for British industry. We believe it is nothing of the kind; in fact it is nothing less than an act of industrial treason.

We must indeed ask the question: does this Government really want there to be any independent British-owned motor manufacturing industry at all? All its policies over the past year or two indicate that it does not.

Why anyway is Nissan a successful company? The article below should give a clue.

THE JAP CAR INVASION OF BRITAIN
Government policies have done everything to encourage it

Jap success comes from nationalism, Britain's failure from internationalism

JAPAN'S NATIONAL SUCCESS, as exemplified most dramatically in the steady conquest of world markets by Japanese industry at the expense of Western nations (especially Britain) has come about as a result of following principles directly opposite to those practised by British governments and which have coincided with Britain's no less dramatic modern failure, decline and degradation.

Since their military defeat in 1945, it has been in the area of economics that the Japanese have chosen to re-establish their strength. Japan has in fact been waging all-out economic warfare at the expense of Western countries, most notably our own. To this end, the whole of Japanese industry has been directed and organised by government in the manner almost of a military operation, and all towards the promotion of the national economic good.

By contrast, Britain's leaders have abandoned the whole idea of economic activity as the servant of the nation and its overriding interests. This has been made more or less explicit in the economic doctrines of Mrs. Thatcher and the Tory Party.

THE TORY VIEW

According to the Tory view, the British economy should be just a part of a single global free market in which the manufacturers of foreign nations should be permitted to sell their goods without hindrance, even if British industry is driven to the wall in the process. As far as the Tories are concerned, government should stand back, passively allowing international market forces to take their course. If the nation's industries cannot weather the competition of foreigners in the home market, and go bankrupt as a result, then that proves, in Tory eyes, that they are 'inefficient' and that their demise is to be regarded as a just result.

Meanwhile, investors and bankers should be left free to export capital from Britain to wherever in the world it can earn the greatest profit, even if this means building up the industries of Britain's foreign competitors, including Japan, the Third World and the Communist Bloc. At no stage does this Tory doctrine recognise the priority of the national interest in Britain and the claims of the nation as a whole over national economic life. Britain's wealth is seen by Britain's rulers as no more than an object for financial speculators and private interests, whose operations government is to be prevented from taking any action to limit or prevent — even where common sense shows that vital national interests are at stake.

Tories, including the likes of Mrs. Thatcher, Mr. Tebbit and the rest of the 'free market' fanatics, have tried to claim that free international competition will force British industry to be efficient. In fact this argument has never been borne out at any time during the last century and a half since other nations became industrial powers. Liberal free trading policies pursued by Britain over that period have only led to our industrial and technological impoverishment.

Japan, by contrast, has no intention of allowing others free access to her domestic market. Japan does not in fact know the meaning of the term 'fair competition'. For instance, last year Britain imported manufactured goods from Japan worth £4.1 billion while exporting to Japan only £1 billion's worth — a deficit of over £3 billion. It is reckoned that in 1987 we will run a deficit with Japan amounting to £3.6 billion.



PRODUCTION LINE AT TOYOTA IN JAPAN
Made efficient by practice of nationalism and protection

The Japanese have been careful to ensure that their own national economy is shielded from foreign imports and have adopted all manner of practices to this end. Instead of standing inactively aside and allowing international economic forces to wreak havoc with her industrial base, the Japanese Government, as protector of the nation's economic good, plays an active and responsible role as defender and director of the whole of nation's industrial machine.

SOUND FOUNDATION

By almost excluding imports and reserving the domestic market almost exclusively for their own producers, the Japanese have been able to base their industrial activity on the sound foundation of an assured home market. This has permitted the growth of industry by means of massive economies of scale, while the Japanese State has acted to make sure that industry is organised into viable economic units.

From this secure home base, Japan has been able to secure control of ever greater export markets in a planned and directed manner. Her goods have been **marketed overseas at prices well below what her competitors could match — this being compensated for by much higher prices of the same products in the Japanese home market, all again organised under the direction of government. This has enabled the Japs to drive their competitors out of business.** Then of course foreign prices can be raised and profits increased.

The whole process has guaranteed high employment in Japan and built a sound relationship between Japanese workers, employers and government — often cited as the right model for Britain. In Japan the national economy is regarded as a common enterprise, from whose success all stand to gain.

Britain's Industry and Trade Secretary Paul Channon has recently been reduced to crawling to Japan in order to plead with Jap Prime Minister Nakasone to open the Japanese market, even if only slightly, to British manufacturers. It is not explained why the Japanese should wish to practise such altruism at the expense of their own industry, particularly in view of their past attitude of rigorous economic nationalism and the absense of any altruistic motives in the Japanese mentality. Ruthless hardness in pursuit of her own national interests has been the keynote of Japan's trade policy, and all the evidence is that this will continue.

WHAT BRITAIN MUST DO

Britain must learn from Japan and recognise that national power and independence require, as their physical foundation, a carefully protected and self-sufficient national economy in which vital industrial and technological capacity are maintained at all costs. Only a Nationalist Government in Britain will ever achieve this, and rebuild the nation's industrial base — excluding the goods of foreign producers and putting our unemployed back to work.

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — I am very glad to see that in your last issue you came out strongly on the AIDS menace. You are absolutely right — the Government is just pussyfooting over the problem. Had homosexuality never been legalised years ago, we would almost certainly have been spared this dire threat to the public health.

The homo problem is just part of the larger problem of the permissive society, unleashed on us in the 1960s. The Tories are now condemning this, but I do not remember them ever doing much to oppose it at the time.

T. WATTS
Weston-super-Mare, Avon

Sir, — I, like many others, am delighted to see you out of prison and back at your job. Your jailing and that of Mr. Tyndall was a disgrace. I recall reacting with a hollow laugh when I read in an editorial in one of the national papers recently that people in this country do not get locked up for their political views. What a lie! And what humbug!

Clearly, your imprisonment was intended to intimidate all British people who dare to oppose the imposition on this country of the failed multi-racial society. Well, I can promise you that it will not intimidate me!

S.K. BARBER (Mrs.)
London E.11

Sir, — I hear that Prince Edward was most likely influenced against staying in the Royal Marines by his period as a student at Cambridge. I am not surprised! University life today provides the worst possible preparation for duty in serving one's country — particularly in the most distinguished units of the armed forces.

R.L. ALLEN
Liverpool

B.N.P POLICY FOR BRITAIN'S MOTOR INDUSTRY

(1) Britain's motor manufacturers should be protected from foreign imports by an embargo on all foreign-made motor vehicles in this country. This embargo should be applied gradually until British industry has expanded so as to be able to supply every type of vehicle needed.

(2) All motor manufacturers in Britain presently under foreign ownership should be taken over by British ownership, thus ensuring that all decisions affecting the livelihoods of those working in the industry are made in this country.

(3) A comprehensive study should be made of those motor-manufacturing industries overseas whose performance is superior to that of British motor firms, with a view to incorporating into the British system such foreign techniques of production, management and industrial relations practised abroad as may benefit our own producers. This, however, must preclude the setting up of foreign-owned factories in Britain, like Nissan, under foreign management.

(4) The Government must ensure that the British motor industry receives whatever investment capital is required for the thorough modernisation of plant everywhere. One vital need in the carrying out of this policy must be that government should forbid the export of British investment capital overseas where it may benefit our industrial competitors.

(5) Uncompetitiveness in the British motor industry caused by overmanning must be corrected, not by laying workers off, but by expanding production — which will be possible when the industry is producing for an assured home market, protected from foreign competition. We should seek to achieve better manning levels, not be having less workers produce the same number of vehicles, but by having the same number of workers produce more vehicles.

Ian Dell to fight Greenwich for BNP

WITH A PARLIAMENTARY by-election due to take place in Greenwich constituency of South London following the death of the previously sitting member, Mr. Guy Barnett, the British National Party has decided to contest the seat.

It will be the first such election that the BNP has fought, and the intention is that the party will make it a really good effort.

Mr. Ian Dell has been selected as the condidate. 27 years old, he is one of the party's leading activists in the London

IAN DELL

area and a mainstay of the local Greenwich Branch. He is also the party's prospective candidate for Greenwich in the General Election.

Ian Dell is very concerned about the unemployment situation in Britain, having himself been a victim of it, and he will be fighting hard on this issue in his campaign. He is also deeply concerned about the moral decline of Britain, particularly in as much as it affects many of his own generation.

Greenwich is one of those London boroughs with an extreme left-wing Labour Council. That council has, like others of its kind, been preoccupied excessively with the rights of various minority groups, such as Blacks and homosexuals, with little regard for the rights of the white British majority in the area. Greenwich has followed the example of a number of other London borough councils in seeking to get written into tenancy agreements for council tenants a clause which permits the council to get the tenant evicted from his home if he is thought to be guilty of 'racism' towards his non-white neighbours. This is an issue on which Ian Dell and the Greenwich Branch have been fighting the Council for some time.

Another mad scheme by Greenwich Council has been to have a plaque erected locally in memory of the Japanese dead in World War II — a gross insult to those British servicemen who suffered badly in Japanese POW camps during the war. It is noticeable that the Council has not seen fit to erect any memorial to British servicemen who have given their lives in the Falklands or Northern Ireland.

Ian Dell believes that issues of local government cannot be isolated from those issues that concern parliament, because the parties in parliament and those that control local government are one. The fact that the coming election is for parliament will not prevent Mr. Dell from campaigning, at least in part, on local issues.

The party intends to fight the best possible campaign in Greenwich. Professionally printed election addresses will be sent to every household in the constituency. In addition, there will be an extensive leaflet and poster drive. All this will require money. Greenwich branch's own election funds are committed to the General Election, in which the branch will be putting up 3 candidates. The raising of money to fight this election will therefore be a national undertaking. We appeal to supporters all over the country to send what they can to the campaign fund. Donations should be sent to the candidate's agent, Terry Fitzgerald, at the BNP South London address at PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Cheques or postal orders should be made out to Greenwich By-Election Fund.

In addition to money, the campaign will need the help of many activists. Greenwich Branch, though of the highest quality, is a small one in terms of numbers of active members. Help will be needed from activists from other parts of London, and the party has declared that this campaign will take precedence over all activity in the London area for the next 2 months.

High spot of the campaign will be a **public rally** in support of the candidate. The authorities, as most readers will know, are obliged by law to grant the party a hall for such a meeting. The **rally will be held on a Saturday in late February or early March. We hope to** be able to give readers full details in next month's issue.

The Greenwich by-election campaign will provide the ideal start to the BNP active season of 1987. It will, as we have said, be the main focus of activity in London. Other activists from SE England can do their part in helping too. Others further afield in the provinces can help financially and also by attending the election rally when it is held. All provincial units should start **now** planning for the taking of parties to London around the time mentioned.

Greenwich is a good area for the BNP to fight a seat — in fact the first such area in which a parliamentary by-election has been called in the party's history. The party has a good candidate. Let's do all we can to obtain for Ian Dell the best vote possible, and in doing so help to put the name of the British National Party on the map for 1987!

By-election expected in March

AMNESTY FRAUD

Recently, *Lionheart*, the North Eastern Regional newsletter of the BNP, wrote to Amnesty International to bring its attention to the cases of John Tyndall and John Morse, imprisoned under Britain's race laws.

Amnesty claims to believe that people should not be persecuted or imprisoned for their political or religious beliefs, and campaigns worldwide on this principle. The Editor of *Lionheart* pointed out that such repression also takes place in Britain and he urged Amnesty to launch an immediate campaign of protest on behalf of the BNP's two political prisoners.

Needless to say, the silence from Amnesty was deafening.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON
PO Box 462, Greenwood Road, Hackney, London E8 4HH

WEST LONDON
PO Box 33, Greenford, Middx. UB6 8DS

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Bromley
PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

DEVON & CORNWALL
PO Box 75, Plymouth PL1 1SQ

EAST ANGLIA (NORTH)
A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

EAST ANGLIA (SOUTH)
2 Albert Road, Framlingham, Suffolk

EAST MIDLANDS
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

STOKE-ON-TRENT
PO Box 320, Tunstall, Stoke

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

YORKSHIRE

Leeds & Bradford
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

Wakefield
PO Box 42, Wakefield

Hull
PO Box 58, Hull HU6 7HR

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in West Kent, Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Coventry, Burton-on-Trent, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax, Sheffield and Cardiff. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to party head office at: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82
Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'
Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM
Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. **Side 2:** Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British Revolution'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I
Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II
Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the 2nd World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III
Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-exlanatory); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial nationalist state).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 20p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 37p post
25 copies £2.70 + £1.00 post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.90 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.35 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.55 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.75 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.95 post
400 copies £26.40 + £3.25 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

SOUTH AFRICA

(Contd. from page 2)

African history shows that this is fatal when dealing with African people.

Britain has absolutely nothing to gain by supporting the overthrow of white rule in South Africa. White South Africans are the only true friends we have in the whole of the African Continent. We should learn to stop always viewing the world through the eyes of other races and learn again to look at it through the eyes of our own race. The White South Africans, allowing for certain minor ethnic and language differences, are part of our own race. It should be our basic instinct to stand by them.

On one important point there should be no mistake: the forces against the White man in South Africa are not localised; they are global, and they will not stop short of South Africa. Those same forces are out to destroy the White Race here in Britain. South Africa's enemy is also our enemy.

That is why the struggle for South Africa is also our struggle.

BNP Book Service

Below is a list of some of the books whose sale may be banned under the new laws coming into effect some time in the Spring of this year but which will be available up to that time to members of the BNP and of its Book Club. Those wanting these books must get their orders in QUICKLY!

VERY SHORTLY it is going to be necessary to carry out sweeping changes in the BNP Book Service, the service hitherto offering a wide range of books and pamphlets on many topics, including the highly controversial race issue.

Around the Spring of this year, laws are going to come into force which will make it illegal for a great many books on the racial question now in circulation to be sold, even between members of a common political group, society or club.

The laws coming into force will give the State power to prosecute anyone found in possession of substantial stocks of books part of the content of which may be liable to "stir up racial hatred," and conviction is likely if it can be proved that the intention in possessing such stocks is to sell the books to any other person.

It will therefore not be safe for anyone to be in possession of quantities of such books greater than 1 or 2 in number — a valid defence for possessing such a small number being that the books are one's own private property and are not intended for sale.

Thenceforth, communication of the truth on the racial issue by means of the written word will have to take place by private loan arrangement as between one individual and another.

Which books stocked by the BNP Book Service will come into the category described is not yet certain, and it is probable that some guidance from the Department of the Director of Public Prosecutions will have to be sought in this regard. It may safely be assumed, however, that those books that use the strongest language when dealing with matters of race and contain material that is not complimentary to certain races (other than the white race of course) will come within the compass of this legislation.

As the laws in question do not come into force until about mid-April, it is still possible to obtain supplies of any books hitherto stocked by the BNP Book Service on the race issue providing that one is either a member of the British National Party or the BNP Book Club. If you are not a member of the party but wish to enrol as a member of the Club for the next 3 months, this can be arranged upon payment of a subscription of 50p.

Therefore, those who wish to obtain books of the kind likely to be banned under the new laws should order them now. After April it will be too late. If you do not have a book list, please write for one to the BNP Book Service, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please enclose 25p to cover costs.

THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS (Jean Raspail) £2.40 (£1). A sensational novel about the destruction of the white world by Asian immigrant invasion. 1973, 311pp.

RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION, 30p (13p). A reply to the notorious NUT race-mixing booklet. Packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20pp.

THE DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY (Wilmot Robertson) £7.50 (£1.90). This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America — and not simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

NATURE'S ETERNAL RELIGION (Ben Klassen) £6.70 (£1). controversial and hard-hitting book outlining the author's ideal of a new religion based on the right to survival of the white race. The author in presenting his ideas on this matter makes it plain who represents the threat to white survival. 1973, 508pp.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5 is required on all foreign currency POs and cheques and an additional £1 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support for the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 25p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6 (Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS